

Bob Pease on bounding and clamping techniques

Paul Rako - September 28, 2011

Tim Hoeppner, a product development engineer at <u>Norscan Instruments Ltd.</u> asked if he could purchase and old 1983 *EDN* article that Bob Pease references in <u>his excellent book on</u> <u>troubleshooting</u>. Since I have paper copies of *EDN* back to 1974, his request landed in my inbox. I guess nominally we are supposed to charge 25 bucks for old articles, but I could tell Tim was a diligent engineer with an inquisitive mind, so I just scanned the 10-page article and mailed it to him. His response shows his good character, as he wrote back:

• Thank you very much for digging this up for me. Being a junior engineer I'm just learning about all of Bob's amazing work for the electronics industry. I read <u>his bio on *EDN*</u> after he passed away and was immediately inspired. I ended up purchasing several of his and <u>Jim Williams' books</u> and am so impressed. I was amazed at how fun they are to read. I really think you guys at *EDN* are doing an awesome job at promoting all their work, it must have been quite the honor to know them.

I was glad to dig out and scan the article for Tim since it was such a good one. I have not OCR'ed it since that takes well over a day, but here are the raw scans, click on any image to get the 1024 wide one that you should be able to read.

Bounding, clamping techniques improve circuit performance *EDN*, November 10, 1983

Bounding, clamping techniques improve circuit performance

Circuit configurations that limit amplifiers' input or output excursions can yield improvements in symmetry and transient response and simultaneously protect circuits from overdrive damage.

Robert Pease, National Semiconductor Corp

By applying the bounding and clamping techniques described in this article, you can reduce saturation delays arising from overdrive and prevent damage to your amplifier circuit or its succeeding stages. The techniques also allow you to obtain functions that are otherwise difficult to realize—eg, absolute-value (precision-rectifier) circuits and high-symmetry clamps.

Sometimes it's necessary to use a feedback bound if you need prompt response from a circuit. Consider, for example, Fig la's integrator circuit without the zener feedback bound (shown by dashed line). If the input voltage is near +10V, the output can hit its negative rail at -13V. When the input next goes negative, you might expect the circuit to start immediately integrating upward. However, as Fig 1b shows, the output response can be delayed because the amplifier has been "out of control" so long. The feedback capacitor charges to 23V (not just 13V); the higher voltage translates to an extra 16-msec delay before the output can begin to move.

In some servo or control-loop systems, this delay can degrade performance to an intolerable level. However, you can avoid the delay by using the feedback clamp. The zener-diode pair allows the op amp to retain control



EDN NOVEMBER 10, 1983

277

Simple bounding degrades speed



at all times; therefore, as soon as the input goes negative, the output rises. Indeed, the op amp's output never reaches -13V, because as soon as it attains approximately -10.7V, a zener diode conducts and holds the summing junction at 0V. Any excess current fed to the input does no harm; it's merely shunted off by the feedback bound.

Feedback bounds can help in other areas also. Imagine a situation, for example, in which an inverter circuit must drive a load from 0V to at least $\pm 8V$, but damage or overload considerations dictate that the output not exceed $\pm 9V$. A pair of ideal zener diodes, implemented as the Model 1N4738 diodes are in Fig 2a's circuit, would do the job. Real zener diodes, however, are far from ideal; even the best of them have high capacitance.

Because the 1N4738's 45-pF junction capacitance acts as a large damper, it degrades the unbounded circuit's fast response (Fig 2b, trace A), slowing it down considerably (trace B). You can avoid this undesirable slowdown by using the circuit in Fig 3. Here the feedback bound is not merely a pair of zener diodes, but a network of zeners and signal diodes. When the op amp's output tries to slew rapidly, a capacitive current flows through the zeners; however, it flows through $R_{\rm S}$ to ground instead of through $D_{\rm 3}$ or $D_{\rm 4}$.

This network's speed performance is vastly improved over that of Fig 2's circuit. In fact, you'd be well advised to add the 2-pF feedback capacitor to avoid overshoot and ringing. Fig 3's circuit does not give perfect performance, however, because zener tolerances can cause the positive and negative limits to be mismatched by as much as 0.5V or even by 10%. Fortunately, the next circuit (Fig 4) yields inherently high symmetry, along with other benefits.

278

When driven to its positive limit, the output voltage is $V_{\rm D20N}+V_{\rm D20N}+V_{\rm D5}$. At the negative limit, it's $V_{\rm D20N}+V_{\rm D20N}+V_{\rm D5}$. Inasmuch as the dominating term (zener voltage $V_{\rm D5}$) is the same for both expressions, and the signal diodes' forward voltages tend to match within 10 or 20 mV, you can see that this circuit's bound symmetry shows improvement by a factor of 10 to 20 compared with the basic 2-zener circuit.

Note that when V_{0UT} is +8.5V, D₃ is definitely ON, but D₂ is just preparing to conduct. Thus, the low



EDN NOVEMBER 10, 1983

Circuit tricks nullify zener capacitance

leakage of D_1 and D_2 is responsible for the circuit's excellent linearity and accuracy in its linear region. For this reason, a high-leakage diode such as a 1N914 is inappropriate, but a collector-base junction is a wise choice (see **box**, "So you say you need a diode?"). Resistors R_1 and R_2 ensure that D_3 is always biased ON for all conditions at the input or output.

Improve the integrator

Did the addition of zener diodes to Fig 1a's circuit fully solve the integrator's problem? Not quite: The design of zener bounds is not so trivial. Consider, for example, Fig 5a's configuration. Assume the output is driven near its limit. When the input signal goes to zero, the integrator's output should hold at +10V, but instead it droops down a full volt because of zener leakage (b). Close inspection of a typical 10V zener's spec sheet reveals, for example, that $1-\mu A$ leakage at 7.6V is acceptable.

Considering the 1- μ A max at 7.6V, a leakage of 10 to 100 μ A could exist at 8.5 or 9.5V (because of a soft knee)—and this high figure would *not* be a reason for rejection by the zener's manufacturer. Leakages of this order, however, can cause gross errors in a simple bound circuit.

Fortunately, you can also use the high-performance bound circuitry of Fig 3 or Fig 4 with the integrator. With these substitutions, the integrator performs with excellent accuracy to within 0.5V of its limit without leakage problems. This statement is valid even if the zener diode is leaky or has a soft knee, because the leakage flows through R_1 (Fig 3) or R_1 , R_2 (Fig 4). Thus it can't flow into the summing point and cause errors. You can, therefore, use standard, low-cost components

So you say you need a diode?

When you need an appropriate diode for a critical application, you're often faced with a difficult choice. Diodes have combinations of characteristics, so it's necessary to consider the particular parameters that are crucial to your circuit. The nearby **table** gives salient characteristics for fast-recovery and low-leakage diodes, as well as home-brew diodes made from transistor junctions.

Note, for example, that the ubiquitous 1N914 (or 1N4148) features fast recovery, but it's relatively leaky. A 2N4250's collector-base junction, on the other hand, has extremely low leakage. but slow recovery time. The same device's emitter junction, meanwhile, offers very fast recovery, but will withstand only 6V and is moderately capacitive. So you can see, your choice inevitably involves a tradeoff. The nearby figure (a) and (b) show typical hookups for transistor-junction diodes.

What about monolithic diode arrays? Forget it—these diodes are likely to have excessive leakages and capacitances to the substrate; moreover, when one diode conducts, it injects carriers in such a way that every other diode in the IC array develops a high leakage.

It's a little-known fact that slow, low-leakage diodes often not only turn off slowly, but they also turn on slowly. The **photo** shows the output voltage of **Fig 11b**'s circuit in the special case in which $V_2=0$ (both zeners shorted), $R_{\rm IN}=1$ kΩ, $D_{\rm c}=1N914$ and $D_{\rm c}=1N457$.

The 1N914 turns on and off very quickly. The 1N457 stores charges and conducts for 500 nsec after the input voltage fails to zero. It also fails to start conducting until 40 nsec after its terminal voltage has risen above 0.7V. Fortunately, you can avoid these overshoots by using emitter-base junctions and 1N914s.

Be warned that the overshoot shown is excessive mainly at repetition rates below 20 kHz—it actually shrinks and looks acceptable at frequencies above 800 kHz, so it's a particularly insidious and sneaky kind of overshoot. Note also that not all manufacturers' 1N457s exhibit this phenomenon, so take care in qualifying your particular vendor. For extremely low leakage (for example, in Fig 13a's circuit), consider using collector-base junctions. If, for instance, you need leakage lower than 100 pA, then it's often much less costly to test transistors with a 98% yield to this spec than to shop for a diode with low leakage but a high price.

Some low-leakage transistors to consider are the 2N930, 2N3707 and 2N4250. However, most other inexpensive, smallsignal transistors have an excellent yield to a leakage spec of 30 pA max at 5V, even though the spec sheet guarantees 10 nA.

What about zener diodes? Typically, 6.2V (and higher) zeners have crisp knees, low leakage and adequately low series resistance. On the other hand, devices below 4.7V usually have soft knees, unacceptable leakage, high series resistance, high noise and poor stability. For low-voltage bounding, therefore, you'd be well advised to consider "IC zeners", such as the LM385-1.2 and -2.5 or the LM336-25 and -5.0 for 1.2. 2.5 and 5V needs: the adjustable LM385 suits use in 1.2 to 5.3V applications.

EDN NOVEMBER 10, 1983

in these two high-performance bound circuits in a variety of applications.

Adjustable bound is better

You might have noticed that some of the circuits presented could have substantial temperature coefficients (2 or 3 mV/°C), because of the zener-diode drift. Fortunately, you can use a micropower voltage reference—such as the LM385—to improve the TC (the reference's equivalent circuit is shown in Fig 6a). Applied in Fig 6b's circuit, the device senses D₅'s ON voltage, and uses this voltage to compensate for the forward voltage of D₁ and D₄ or D₂ and D₃, whichever is the case.

The resulting bound voltage has a low 0.2-mV/°C TC and a reasonably low (40 Ω at 1 mA) impedance at any bound voltage from 3.75 to 6.3V. The LM385 itself is limited to 5.3V, but you could add an LM336-5 or similar 5V reference-diode'IC to make, for example, a high-performance $\pm 10V$ adjustable bound. Insert the device between points A and B in Fig 6b and adjust potentiometer $R_{\rm S}$ for the desired output voltage.

Finally, if your application can't tolerate leakageinduced errors at high temperatures, consider the high-performance circuit in Fig 7. By adding a diode/resistor stage to a normal bound circuit, you can obtain excellent, low-leakage performance at temperatures as high as 125°C. The bound-current leakage is less than 1 nA at ± 10.4 V output at all temperatures. In addition, the output is limited to less than ± 12 V for bound currents as high as 1 mA. Note that an LM11A is a good choice for this circuit—its bias current is less than 0.15 nA; moveover, its offset measures less than 0.12 mV over -55 to ± 125 °C.



Diode characteristics vary wildly, as is evident in the table. Your choice almost invariably involves a tradeoff involving speed, capacitance, voltage breakdown and leakage. It's often wise to use transistor junctions as diodes—(a)'s two possible connections yield extremely low leakage and very fast recovery time, respectively. The photo shows a 1N457's long turn-on time in Fig 11b's circuit. Trace A is the circuit's input voltage; B is the diode voltage.

EDN NOVEMBER 10, 1983

281

Single-zener network enhances symmetry



If, instead, you disconnect section B and connect

EDN NOVEMBER 10, 1983

282



Complex network negates zener leakage



section C, then $V_{DUT} = -V_{INA}$ or $+V_{INC}$, whichever is more positive. In the case that both V_{INA} and V_{INC} are connected to the signal input, the circuit performs a positive absolute-value (precision-rectifier) function, with excellent accuracy at frequencies below 1 kHz. What are the circuit's shortcomings? Frequency response, for one. Even with high-speed amplifiers and fast diodes, performance is noor abuse 10 kHz.

fast diodes, performance is poor above 10 kHz. In





Reference ICs simulate ideal zeners

addition, the method has the disadvantage of only providing a negative limit (or a positive one by reversing all diodes). On the other hand, it performs a useful function by selecting the highest of a group of voltages. For example, if you use four op amps and eight diodes, then you can obtain an output that's the most positive of four inputs.

What about active feedback? Intuitively, it would seem that you could make a high-precision limiter by using an op amp that senses when the output signal approaches the limit voltage, then reaches back and provides a feedback current to stop the output's advance. The circuit in **Fig 9** is an example of such a scheme. It admittedly looks as if it'll oscillate and misbehave, but lab tests prove it doesn't.

The complete version of the circuit is shown in Fig 10a. You can obtain clean limiting (b) for capacitive or resistive feedback (Z_F), but the circuit won't handle both cases unless you use damping capacitors (C_X) equal to $\frac{1}{200}$ the feedback capacitor. In order of increasing speed, you can use LM324, LM348 or LF347 op amps to develop a faster response.

Outside the feedback loop

If you want to limit your circuit's output by clipping the input excursions, you can use a simple clamping scheme such as that shown in Fig 11a. However, the zener diodes' leakage and capacitance create some problems in this circuit. Fig 11b suggests a way to

Bounding and clamping: what and why?

Bounding, clamping, limiting and clipping—what do these terms mean, and what distinguishes them? They all apply to voltagelevel restrictions, at either a circuit's input or output. As for their differentiation, it's somewhat unclear—in fact, they're often used interchangeably for a given circuit configuration.

For the purposes of this article, however, "bounding" pertains to limiting circuits inserted in an amplifier's feedback loop; "clamping" to networks applied to the amplifier's input terminal. The former generally produces a sharp output-clipping action; the latter simply prevents overdrive.

Usually, an input signal is coupled resistively to a unity-gain follower's input. For small signals, there's no attenuation (and no current drain by the clamping network). When the signal exceeds the preset threshold, however, the clamping network conducts heavily, preventing the amplifier from being driven any further.

Given this behavior, what reasons are there for limiting? There are many:

- To eliminate undue delays when an integrator must recover from its supplydetermined limit.
- To eliminate undue delays when any amplifier circuit must recover from its supply-determined limit. (Not all amplifiers recover in a like manner—some chopperstabilized designs take sev-

eral seconds.)

zener diodes' capacitance. In choosing D1 and D2, you must

consider a speed/leakage tradeoff.

- To avoid thermal errors caused by an amplifier's output stage overheating in a limit condition.
- To avoid degradation or outright damage to an amplifier's inputs.
- To avoid overdriving or damaging circuits connected to an amplifier's output.
- To obtain specific symmetrical or asymmetrical shaping.
- To obtain a nonlinear curve for simulation of nonlinear circuits (for example, using analog-computer techniques).
- To control an oscillator's amplitude.
- To rectify an ac signal.

EDN NOVEMBER 10, 1983



-

Limiter circuit makes ideal rectifier



minimize these effects. Remember that if you want fast response, you must use fast diodes (such as the fast-recovery, high-leakage 1N914). Conversely, if $R_{\rm IN}$ must have a high value, you should choose a low-leakage type. Choose R_2 and R_1 to ensure that the zeners are biased above the knee.

If you'd like to try more elegant schemes, consider Fig 12's high-performance, symmetrical clamping circuit. The two 30-k Ω resistors ensure that the zener never turns off; therefore, its capacitance never has to be charged up during a cycle. The value of R₄ is critical in this circuit, because the op amp's output must bootstrap point V_z to a voltage very close to that at V₁. With the values shown, V₁-V₂ is only 0.15V when

with the values shown, $V_1 - V_2$ is only 0.15V when V_{1N} =10V. Therefore, diode D_i leakage is negligible at room temperature. When V_{1N} rises further and D_i starts to conduct, the current through R_i biases the zener to a low-impedance condition, well above its knee. Note that if R_i is too low, then the op amp might not be able to drive it.

The input-clamping techniques shown here use an op amp connected as a unity-gain follower, but they're generally applicable to any unity-gain amplifiers, such as emitter followers, source followers or any highimpedance amplifier.

Suppose you don't really require clean signal limiting, but simply need to protect an amplifier's inputs from such damage as might result from electrostatic discharge or an inadvertent 115V line connection. The clamping circuits in Fig 13 provide complete input protection, even when driven to extremes—moveover,

288



Fig 13—These input-protection circuits guard the op amp?s inputs from damaging overvoltages. The circuit in (b) is more efficiacious than (a)'s brute-force approach; it offers full protection and negligible input leakage.



Fig 14—Use an op amp's compensation terminal to obtain bounding. This method can make it easier to clamp differantial-input amplifiers, for example. Connecting D₂ to ground yields a -0.5V negative limit; connecting it to the zener yields more negative limits.

EDN NOVEMBER 10, 1983

they cause no performance degradation throughout the full common-mode range of the op amp.

Fig 13b's circuit is similar to 13a's brute-force approach, but it reduces input leakage to subpicoampere levels. When the input is severely overdriven, D1 or D4 might conduct, but the op amp's inputs won't be subjected to overvoltage or overcurrent conditions. In addition, protection diodes D₁ and D₂ are bootstrapped in normal operation with barely 1 mV across them. Therefore, their leakage is less than 1 pA.

Finally, note that not every clamp or bound must be connected to an amplifier's inputs or in its feedback loop. For example, you can limit an LM308's or LM301A's output to +5, -0.5V levels by putting a suitable clamp on its frequency-compensation terminal (Fig 14). This method is especially useful with difficult-to-clamp (because of differential inputs) instrumentation amplifiers. For example, it works with the LM363, making it easy to use as a comparator. EDN



This guide describes a system that converts digital signals into usable information rather than meaningless ones and zeros. You'll learn how to develop a troubleshooting strategy that will save you time and money.

To receive a complimentary copy (922 retail value), send a letter of request to Data I/O, Attention DTS Product Manager, 10525 Willows Rd. NE, C-46, Redmond, WA 98052. n DTS Please include \$5 for D ATAI postage and handling.

NEW!

Pushbuttons dry circuit. However,

the P7 series is rated a

big 5 amps for 25,000

cycles. Six circuit and

four bezel variations

are available in com-

versions. Catalog 101

the P7

fully

Telex: 72-2426

mercial and

CONTROLS

CIRCLE NO 113

military

Write

illustrates

samples

or call for

seale subminiature



EDN NOVEMBER 10, 1983

So there you have it, and <u>Data I/O</u> and <u>Otto</u> get a free ad to boot. Remember this those ads are from 1983, so don't count on the address and phone numbers being right. I hope you enjoy the article, Pease was a real giant and a real friend. I keep opening my email program to write him and ask him something, most recently about my upcoming cover story on error budgets. Then I realise Bob is gone. It really has saddened me and ruined what started out a pretty good summer. I guess its time to remove his name from my address book.

Phone: 312/428-7171

[Update] I just read Bob's bio on the last page. The comment about how he "tracked abandoned railroad tracks" reminded me of when we were are on the analog seminar together. We were driving from Manchester to London, and we stopped at one of the many rail museums. The next picture really sums up Bob's rebellious nature. Click to enlarge.



I also found this pic of Pease standing next to a locomotive.



And finally, here is another anti-authoritarian shot of Pease, touching the naval mine in the War Museum in Manchester, despite the sign.

